arXiv:1502.07908v1 [math.DG] 27 Feb 2015

PINCHED HYPERSURFACES SHRINK TO ROUND POINTS

MARTIN FRANZEN

With an appendix by M. Franzen, M. Westerholt-Raum, F. Kuhl.

ABSTRACT. We investigate the evolution of closed strictly convex hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, $n = 3$, for contracting normal velocities, including powers of the mean curvature, H, of the norm of the second fundamental form, $|A|$, and of the Gauss curvature, K. We prove convergence to a round point for 2-pinched initial hypersurfaces. In $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, $n = 2$, natural quantities exist for proving convergence to a round point for many normal velocities. Here we present their counterparts for arbitrary dimensions $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

CONTENTS

1. Overview 1
2. Acknowledgments 3
3. Notation 3
4. Linear operator L 4
5. Vanishing functions 9
6. H^3-flow 10
7. $|A|^2$-flow 12
8. Gauss curvature flow 12
9. Outlook 13
10. Appendix 13
References 13

1. OVERVIEW

We consider the geometric flow equation

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}X = -F\nu, \\ X(\cdot,0) = M_0 \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

and ask whether closed strictly convex hypersurfaces $M_{0\le t<T}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, $n = 3$, shrink to round points.

Date: February 27, 2015.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C44.

We would like to thank F. Kuhl, B. Lambert, M. Langford, M. Makowski, O. Schnürer, W. Stein, B. Stekeler, and M. Westerholt-Raum for discussions and support.

The author is a member of the DFG priority program SPP 1489.

For the cubed mean curvature, $F = H^3$, the answer is affirmative if the initial hypersurface M_0 is 2-pinched, i. e. the principal curvatures $(\lambda_i)_{1\le i\le 3}$ fulfill

$$\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j} \le 2$$

everywhere on M_0 for all $1 \le i, j \le 3$. This is our main Theorem 6.5.

Furthermore, we sketch the proof of similar results for the square of the norm of the second fundamental form, $F = |A|^2$, and the Gauss curvature, $F = K$.

So far, strong pinching assumptions were needed to show convergence to a round point [3, 4, 10].

The paper is structured as follows:

- *Notation*: We give a quick introduction to differential geometric quantities used in this paper, e. g. the induced metric, the second fundamental form, and the principal curvatures.
- *Linear operator L*: We calculate the linear operator $Lw := \frac{d}{dt}w - F^{ij}w_{;ij}$ for a function w of the principal curvatures λ_i, $i = 1, 2, 3$, at a critical point of w. To improve readability, we also choose normal coordinates at that critical point, i. e. $g_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$, and $(h_{ij}) = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$. This lays the groundwork for subsequent calculations.
- *Vanishing functions*: In $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, $n = 2$, for many normal velocities F the quantity

$$\frac{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2}{(\lambda_1\,\lambda_2)^2}F^2$$

seems to be the natural choice when showing convergence to a round point. As in [5], we call this quantity a *vanishing function* for a normal velocity F. It is used by B. Andrews for the Gauss curvature flow [1], by F. Schulze and O. Schnürer for the H^σ-flow [10], by B. Andrews and X. Chen for the $|A|^\sigma$ and the $\operatorname{tr} A^\sigma$-flow [2].

The quantity

$$\sum_{i<j}\frac{(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2}{(\lambda_i\,\lambda_j)^2}F^2$$

is the counterpart of a vanishing function for arbitrary dimensions $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, we work with this quantity in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, $n = 3$.

- *H^3-flow*: The proof of our main Theorem 6.5 is based on investigating the quantities

$$\varphi_{H^3} = \frac{(a-b)^2 + (a-c)^2 + (b-c)^2}{(a+b+c)^2},$$
$$\text{and}\qquad \psi_{H^3} = \left(\frac{(a-b)^2}{(a\,b)^2} + \frac{(a-c)^2}{(a\,c)^2} + \frac{(b-c)^2}{(b\,c)^2}\right)\left(H^3\right)^2,$$

which are homogeneous functions of the principal curvatures $a \equiv \lambda_1$, $b \equiv \lambda_2$, and $c \equiv \lambda_3$. First we show that the estimate $\varphi_{H^3} \le h := 1/8$ is preserved during the H^3-flow if the initial hypersurface M_0 is 2-pinched. Next we prove that ψ_{H^3} is bounded in time on the set where $\varphi_{H^3} \le h$. This involves the maximum-principle, the linear operator L and our computer program [CP]. Finally, we show convergence to a round point combining the boundedness of ψ_{H^3} and the proof of [10, Theorem A.1.] by F. Schulze and O. Schnürer.

- $|A|^2$*-flow and Gauss curvature flow*: We sketch the proof of results similar to our main Theorem 6.5 for the $|A|^2$-flow, and for the Gauss curvature flow.
- *Appendix*: Some of the Lemmas leading up to the proof our main Theorem 6.5 rely on the computer program [CP], where we use a Monte-Carlo method. For the convenience of the reader, we include the source code of [CP] in three different programming languages, namely the computer algebra systems Mathematica, Sage, and Maple.

2. Acknowledgments

We would like to thank O. Schnürer for suggesting the use of two monotone quantities instead of one. In particular, we thank O. Schnürer for proposing the quantity $\psi_{|A|^2}$, and M. Makowski for proposing the quantity $\varphi_{|A|^2}$. We are also indebted to M. Westerholt-Raum and F. Kuhl for their help in translating the computer program [CP] from Mathematica to Sage and to Maple.

3. Notation

For a quick introduction of the standard notation we adopt the corresponding chapter from [9].

We use $X = X(x, t)$ to denote the embedding vector of an n-manifold M_t into $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and $\frac{d}{dt}X = \dot{X}$ for its total time derivative. It is convenient to identify M_t and its embedding in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. The normal velocity F is a homogeneous symmetric function of the principal curvatures. We choose ν to be the outer unit normal vector to M_t. The embedding induces a metric $g_{ij} := \langle X_{,i},\, X_{,j}\rangle$ and the second fundamental form $h_{ij} := -\langle X_{,ij},\, \nu\rangle$ for all $i,\, j = 1, \ldots, n$. We write indices preceded by commas to indicate differentiation with respect to space components, e. g. $X_{,k} = \frac{\partial X}{\partial x_k}$ for all $k = 1, \ldots, n$.

We use the Einstein summation notation. When an index variable appears twice in a single term it implies summation of that term over all the values of the index.

Indices are raised and lowered with respect to the metric or its inverse $\left(g^{ij}\right)$, e. g. $h_{ij}h^{ij} = h_{ij}g^{ik}h_{kl}g^{lj} = h^k_j h^j_k$.

The principal curvatures λ_i, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, are the eigenvalues of the second fundamental form (h_{ij}) with respect to the induced metric (g_{ij}). For $n = 3$, we name the principle curvatures also $a \equiv \lambda_1$, $b \equiv \lambda_2$, and $c \equiv \lambda_3$. A surface is called strictly convex if all principal curvatures are strictly positive. We will assume this throughout the paper. Therefore, we may define the inverse of the second fundamental form denoted by $(\tilde{h}^{ij})$.

Symmetric functions of the principal curvatures are well-defined, we will use the Gauss curvature $K = \frac{\det h_{ij}}{\det g_{ij}} = \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_i$, the mean curvature $H = g^{ij}h_{ij} = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i$, the square of the norm of the second fundamental form $|A|^2 = h^{ij}h_{ij} = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^2$, and the trace of powers of the second fundamental form $\operatorname{tr} A^\sigma = \operatorname{tr}\left(h^i_j\right)^\sigma = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^\sigma$. We write indices preceded by semi-colons to indicate covariant differentiation with respect to the induced metric, e. g. $h_{ij;\,k} = h_{ij,k} - \Gamma^l_{ik}h_{lj} - \Gamma^l_{jk}h_{il}$, where $\Gamma^k_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}g^{kl}\left(g_{il,j} + g_{jl,i} - g_{ij,l}\right)$. It is often convenient to choose normal coordinates, i. e. coordinate systems such that at a point the metric tensor equals the Kronecker delta, $g_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$, in which (h_{ij}) is diagonal, $(h_{ij}) = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)$.

Whenever we use this notation, we will also assume that we have fixed such a coordinate system. We will only use a Euclidean metric for $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ so that the indices of $h_{ij;\,k}$ commute according to the Codazzi-Mainardi equations.

A normal velocity F can be considered as a function of principal curvatures λ_i, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, or $(h_{ij},\, g_{ij})$. We set $F^{ij} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial h_{ij}}$, $F^{ij,\,kl} = \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial h_{ij} \partial h_{kl}}$. Note that in coordinate systems with diagonal h_{ij} and $g_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$ as mentioned above, F^{ij} is diagonal.

4. LINEAR OPERATOR L

We begin this chapter with Definition 4.1 of the linear operator Lw for a function w of the principal curvatures λ_i, $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Then we calculate the linear operator Lw at a critical point of w in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, $n = 3$. To improve readability, we also choose normal coordinates at that critical point, i. e. $g_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$, and $(h_{ij}) = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) \equiv \operatorname{diag}(a, b, c)$. This is Lemma 4.4. In Corollary 4.5 we will see that the linear operator Lw has the form

$$Lw = \mathbf{C_w} + \mathbf{E_w}\, \mathbf{x_0}^2 + \mathbf{x_1}^\top M^{\mathbf{R_w}}\, \mathbf{x_1} + \mathbf{x_2}^\top M^{\mathbf{S_w}}\, \mathbf{x_2} + \mathbf{x_3}^\top M^{\mathbf{T_w}}\, \mathbf{x_3},$$

where $\mathbf{C_w}(a,b,c), \mathbf{E_w}(a,b,c)$ are functions in $\mathbb{R}$, and $M^{\mathbf{R_w}}(a,b,c)$, $M^{\mathbf{S_w}}(a,b,c)$, $M^{\mathbf{T_w}}(a,b,c)$ are functions in $\mathbb{R}^{2\times 2}$ with

$$\mathbf{x_0} = h_{12;3},\ \mathbf{x_1} = \begin{pmatrix} h_{22;1} \\ h_{33;1} \end{pmatrix},\ \mathbf{x_2} = \begin{pmatrix} h_{11;2} \\ h_{33;2} \end{pmatrix},\ \mathbf{x_3} = \begin{pmatrix} h_{11;3} \\ h_{22;3} \end{pmatrix}.$$

In subsequent calculations we need the linear operator Lw to be non-positive for some set $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{R}^3_+$. We achieve this by checking the non-positivity of each of the functions $\mathbf{C_w}, \mathbf{E_w}$, $M^{\mathbf{R_w}}$, $M^{\mathbf{S_w}}$, and $M^{\mathbf{T_w}}$ on $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{R}^3_+$. In Remark 4.6 we state the criterion we use in our computer program [CP] to determine the negative semidefiniteness of $M^{\mathbf{R_w}}$, $M^{\mathbf{S_w}}$, and $M^{\mathbf{T_w}}$.

Definition 4.1 (Linear operator)**.** Let w be a function of the principal curvatures. Then we define the linear operator L by

$$Lw = \frac{d}{dt} w - F^{ij} w_{;ij}, \tag{4.1}$$

which is corresponding to the geometric flow equation (1.1).

Lemma 4.2 (Linear operator)**.** *Let $w = w\big(h^j_i\big)$ be a function of the principal curvatures. Let L be defined as in* (4.1)*. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} Lw = {} & w^{ij}\left(h_{ij} F^{kl} h^m_k h_{lm} + h^m_i h_{jm}\left(F - F^{kl} h_{kl}\right)\right) \\ & + \left(w^{ij} F^{kl,rs} - F^{ij} w^{kl,rs}\right) h_{kl;i} h_{rs;j}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Proof. We refer to [5, Lemma 4.5]. □

Lemma 4.3 (Second derivatives)**.** *Let f be a normal velocity F or a function w of the principal curvatures. Then we have*

$$f^{ij,kl} \eta_{ij} \eta_{kl} = \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \lambda_i \partial \lambda_j} \eta_{ii} \eta_{jj} + \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{\frac{\partial f}{\partial \lambda_i} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial \lambda_j}}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j} \eta^2_{ij} \tag{4.3}$$

for any symmetric matrix (η_{ij}) and $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$, or $\lambda_i = \lambda_j$ and the last term is interpreted as a limit.

Proof. We refer to C. Gerhardt [6, Lemma 2.1.14]. ☐

Lemma 4.4 (Linear operator at a critical point)**.** *Let* $w = w\big(h_i^j\big)$ *be a symmetric function of the principal curvatures* a, b, *and* c. *At a critical point of* w, *i. e.* $w_{;i} = 0$ *for all* $i = 1, 2, 3$, *we choose normal coordinates, i. e.* $g_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$ *and* $\big(h_{ij}\big) = \operatorname{diag}(a, b, c)$. *Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} Lw = {} & \mathbf{C_w}(a,b,c) \\ & + \mathbf{E_w}(a,b,c)\, h_{12;3}^2 \\ & + \mathbf{R_w}(a,b,c,h_{11;1},h_{22;1},h_{33;1}) \\ & + \mathbf{S_w}(a,b,c,h_{11;2},h_{22;2},h_{33;2}) \\ & + \mathbf{T_w}(a,b,c,h_{11;3},h_{22;3},h_{33;3}) \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

The constant terms $\mathbf{C_w}$ *are*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{C_w}(a,b,c) = {} & a\, w_a \left(a^2 F_a + b^2 F_b + c^2 F_c + a\,(F - a\,F_a - b\,F_b - c\,F_c)\right) \\ & + b\, w_a \left(a^2 F_a + b^2 F_b + c^2 F_c + b\,(F - a\,F_a - b\,F_b - c\,F_c)\right) \\ & + c\, w_c \left(a^2 F_a + b^2 F_b + c^2 F_c + c\,(F - a\,F_a - b\,F_b - c\,F_c)\right). \end{aligned}$$

The gradient terms $\mathbf{E_w}$ *are*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E_w}(a,b,c)/2 = \Big(& \left(w_c\,(F_a - F_b) - F_c\,(w_a - w_b)\right)/(a-b) \\ & + \left(w_b\,(F_a - F_c) - F_b\,(w_a - w_c)\right)/(a-c) \\ & + \left(w_a\,(F_b - F_c) - F_a\,(w_b - w_c)\right)/(b-c)\Big). \end{aligned}$$

The gradient terms $\mathbf{R_w}$ *are*

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{R_w}(a,b,c,h_{11;1},h_{22;1},h_{33;1}) \\ & \quad = w_a \left(\left(F_{aa}\, h_{11;1}^2 + F_{bb}\, h_{22;1}^2 + F_{cc}\, h_{33;1}^2\right)\right. \\ & \qquad\qquad \left. +2\left(F_{ab}\, h_{11;1}\, h_{22;1} + F_{ac}\, h_{11;1}\, h_{33;1} + F_{bc}\, h_{22;1}\, h_{33;1}\right)\right) \\ & \qquad + w_b \left(2 \frac{F_a - F_b}{a-b} h_{22;1}^2\right) \\ & \qquad + w_c \left(2 \frac{F_a - F_c}{a-c} h_{33;1}^2\right) \\ & \qquad - F_a \left(w_{aa}\, h_{11;1}^2 + w_{bb}\, h_{22;1}^2 + w_{cc}\, h_{33;1}^2\right. \\ & \qquad\qquad \left. +2\left(w_{ab}\, h_{11;1}\, h_{22;1} + w_{ac}\, h_{11;1}\, h_{33;1} + w_{bc}\, h_{22;1}\, h_{33;1}\right)\right) \\ & \qquad - F_b \left(2 \frac{w_a - w_b}{a-b} h_{22;1}^2\right) \\ & \qquad - F_c \left(2 \frac{w_a - w_c}{a-c} h_{33;1}^2\right). \end{aligned}$$

The gradient terms $\mathbf{S_w}$ *are*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{S_w}(a,b,c,h_{11;2},h_{22;2},h_{33;2})\\
&\quad = w_a\left(2\frac{F_a-F_b}{a-b}h_{11;2}^2\right)\\
&\qquad + w_b\left(F_{aa}\,h_{11;2}^2+F_{bb}\,h_{22;2}^2+F_{cc}\,h_{33;2}^2\right.\\
&\qquad\qquad \left.+2\left(F_{ab}\,h_{11;2}\,h_{22;2}+F_{ac}\,h_{11;2}\,h_{33;2}+F_{bc}\,h_{22;2}\,h_{33;2}\right)\right)\\
&\qquad + w_c\left(2\frac{F_b-F_c}{b-c}h_{33;2}^2\right)\\
&\qquad - F_a\left(2\frac{w_a-w_b}{a-b}h_{11;2}^2\right)\\
&\qquad - F_b\left(w_{aa}\,h_{11;2}^2+w_{bb}\,h_{22;2}^2+w_{cc}\,h_{33;2}^2\right.\\
&\qquad\qquad \left.+2\left(w_{ab}\,h_{11;2}\,h_{22;2}+w_{ac}\,h_{11;2}\,h_{33;2}+w_{bc}\,h_{22;2}\,h_{33;2}\right)\right)\\
&\qquad - F_c\left(2\frac{w_b-w_c}{b-c}h_{33;2}^2\right).
\end{aligned}$$

The gradient terms $\mathbf{T_w}$ *are*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{T_w}(a,b,c,h_{11;3},h_{22;3},h_{33;3})\\
&\quad = w_a\left(2\frac{F_a-F_c}{a-c}h_{11;3}^2\right)\\
&\qquad + w_b\left(2\frac{F_b-F_c}{b-c}h_{22;3}^2\right)\\
&\qquad + w_c\left(F_{aa}\,h_{11;3}^2+F_{bb}\,h_{22;3}^2+F_{cc}\,h_{33;3}^2\right.\\
&\qquad\qquad \left.+2\left(F_{ab}\,h_{11;3}\,h_{22;3}+F_{ac}\,h_{11;3}\,h_{33;3}+F_{bc}\,h_{22;3}\,h_{33;3}\right)\right)\\
&\qquad - F_a\left(2\frac{w_a-w_c}{a-c}h_{11;3}^2\right)\\
&\qquad - F_b\left(2\frac{w_b-w_c}{b-c}h_{22;3}^2\right)\\
&\qquad - F_c\left(w_{aa}\,h_{11;3}^2+w_{bb}\,h_{22;3}^2+w_{cc}\,h_{33;3}^2\right.\\
&\qquad\qquad \left.+2\left(w_{ab}\,h_{11;3}\,h_{22;3}+w_{ac}\,h_{11;3}\,h_{33;3}+w_{bc}\,h_{22;3}\,h_{33;3}\right)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we have at a critical point of w

$$\begin{aligned}
h_{11;1} &= -\frac{1}{w_a}\left(w_b\,h_{22;1}+w_c\,h_{33;1}\right),\\
h_{22;2} &= -\frac{1}{w_b}\left(w_a\,h_{11;2}+w_c\,h_{33;2}\right),\\
h_{33;3} &= -\frac{1}{w_c}\left(w_a\,h_{11;3}+w_b\,h_{22;3}\right).
\end{aligned}\tag{4.5}$$

Proof. We use Lemma 4.2, and Lemma 4.3 at a point, where we choose normal coordinates. This way we obtain the constant terms $\mathbf{C_w}$, and the four gradient terms $\mathbf{E_w}$, $\mathbf{R_w}$, $\mathbf{S_w}$, and $\mathbf{T_w}$.

At a critical point of w, we have $w_i(a,b,c)=0$ for $i=1,2,3$. This implies

$$w_a\,h_{1l;i}\,g^{l1}+w_b\,h_{2l;i}\,g^{l2}+w_c\,h_{3l;i}\,g^{l3}=0.$$

Using normal coordinates we obtain

$$w_a\,h_{11;i}+w_b\,h_{22;i}+w_c\,h_{33;i}=0.$$

Now we obtain for $i=1,2,3$ the identities

$$\begin{aligned}
h_{11;1}&=-\frac{1}{w_a}\left(w_b\,h_{22;1}+w_c\,h_{33;1}\right),\\
h_{22;2}&=-\frac{1}{w_b}\left(w_a\,h_{11;2}+w_c\,h_{33;2}\right),\\
h_{33;3}&=-\frac{1}{w_c}\left(w_a\,h_{11;3}+w_b\,h_{22;3}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof. □

Corollary 4.5 (Linear operator at a critical point)**.** *Let the gradient terms* $\mathbf{R_w}$*,* $\mathbf{S_w}$*, and* $\mathbf{T_w}$ *be defined as in Lemma 4.4.*
Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{R_w}(a,b,c,h_{22;1},h_{33;1})&=\begin{pmatrix}h_{22;1}\\h_{33;1}\end{pmatrix}^{\top}M^{\mathbf{R_w}}(a,b,c)\begin{pmatrix}h_{22;1}\\h_{33;1}\end{pmatrix},\\
\mathbf{S_w}(a,b,c,h_{11;2},h_{33;2})&=\begin{pmatrix}h_{11;2}\\h_{33;2}\end{pmatrix}^{\top}M^{\mathbf{S_w}}(a,b,c)\begin{pmatrix}h_{11;2}\\h_{33;2}\end{pmatrix},\\
\mathbf{T_w}(a,b,c,h_{11;3},h_{22;3})&=\begin{pmatrix}h_{11;3}\\h_{22;3}\end{pmatrix}^{\top}M^{\mathbf{T_w}}(a,b,c)\begin{pmatrix}h_{11;3}\\h_{22;3}\end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

The elements of the matrix $M^{\mathbf{R_w}}(a,b,c)$ *are*

$$\begin{aligned}
m^{\mathbf{R_w}}_{11}(a,b,c)=&\,2\frac{F_a\,w_b-F_b\,w_a}{a-b}\\
&+F_{aa}\frac{w_b^2}{w_a}-2F_{ab}\,w_b+F_{bb}\,w_a\\
&-F_a\left(w_{aa}\frac{w_b^2}{w_a^2}-2w_{ab}\frac{w_b}{w_a}+w_{bb}\right),\\
m^{\mathbf{R_w}}_{12}(a,b,c)=&\,F_{aa}\frac{w_b\,w_c}{w_a}-F_{ab}\,w_c-F_{ac}\,w_b+F_{bc}\,w_a\\
&-F_a\left(w_{aa}\frac{w_b\,w_c}{w_a^2}-w_{ab}\frac{w_c}{w_a}-w_{ac}\frac{w_b}{w_a}+w_{bc}\right),\\
m^{\mathbf{R_w}}_{22}(a,b,c)=&\,2\frac{F_a\,w_c-F_c\,w_a}{a-c}\\
&+F_{aa}\,\frac{w_c^2}{w_a}-2\,F_{ac}\,w_c+F_{cc}\,w_a\\
&-F_a\left(w_{aa}\frac{w_c^2}{w_a^2}-2w_{ac}\,\frac{w_c}{w_a}+w_{cc}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

The elements of the matrix $M^{\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{w}}}(a,b,c)$ *are*

$$\begin{aligned}
m_{11}^{\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{w}}}(a,b,c) = {}& 2\frac{F_a\,w_b - F_b\,w_a}{a-b} \\
& + F_{aa}\,w_b - 2F_{ab}\,w_a + F_{bb}\frac{w_a^2}{w_b} \\
& - F_b\left(w_{aa} - 2w_{ab}\frac{w_a}{w_b} + w_{bb}\frac{w_a^2}{w_b^2}\right), \\
m_{12}^{\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{w}}}(a,b,c) = {}& -F_{ab}\,w_c + F_{ac}\,w_b + F_{bb}\frac{w_a\,w_c}{w_b} - F_{bc}\,w_a \\
& - F_b\left(-w_{ab}\frac{w_c}{w_b} + w_{ac} + w_{bb}\frac{w_a\,w_c}{w_b^2} - w_{bc}\frac{w_a}{w_b}\right), \\
m_{22}^{\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{w}}}(a,b,c) = {}& 2\frac{F_b\,w_c - F_c\,w_b}{b-c} \\
& + F_{bb}\frac{w_c^2}{w_b} - 2F_{bc}\,w_c + F_{cc}\,w_b \\
& - F_b\left(w_{bb}\frac{w_c^2}{w_b^2} - 2w_{bc}\frac{w_c}{w_b} + w_{cc}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

The elements of the matrix $M^{\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{w}}}(a,b,c)$ *are*

$$\begin{aligned}
m_{11}^{\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{w}}}(a,b,c) = {}& 2\frac{F_a\,w_c - F_c\,w_a}{a-c} \\
& + F_{aa}\,w_c - 2F_{ac}\,w_a + F_{cc}\frac{w_a^2}{w_c} \\
& - F_c\left(w_{aa} - 2w_{ac}\frac{w_a}{w_c} + w_{cc}\frac{w_a^2}{w_c^2}\right), \\
m_{12}^{\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{w}}}(a,b,c) = {}& F_{ab}\,w_c - F_{ac}\,w_b - F_{bc}\,w_a + F_{cc}\frac{w_a\,w_b}{w_c} \\
& - F_c\left(w_{ab} - w_{ac}\frac{w_b}{w_c} - w_{bc}\frac{w_a}{w_c} + w_{cc}\frac{w_a\,w_b}{w_c^2}\right), \\
m_{22}^{\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{w}}}(a,b,c) = {}& 2\frac{F_b\,w_c - F_c\,w_b}{b-c} \\
& + F_{bb}\,w_c - 2F_{bc}\,w_b + F_{cc}\,\frac{w_b^2}{w_c} \\
& - F_c\left(w_{bb} - 2w_{bc}\frac{w_b}{w_c} + w_{cc}\frac{w_b^2}{w_c^2}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We use identities (4.5) to replace $h_{11;1}$, $h_{22;2}$, and $h_{33;3}$ in $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{w}}$, $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{w}}$, and $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{w}}$ from Lemma 4.4, respectively. Now we rewrite the quadratic forms $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{w}}$, $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{w}}$, and $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{w}}$ as $\mathbf{x}^\top M\,\mathbf{x}$. This concludes the proof. □

Remark 4.6 (Sufficient conditions for the non-positivity of the linear operator)**.** Under the assumptions of Lemma 4.4, the linear operator Lw is non-positive at some critical point of w, if $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{w}}$, $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{w}}$ are non-positive, and $M^{\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{w}}}$, $M^{\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{w}}}$, and $M^{\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{w}}}$ are negative semi-definite there. This is a direct consequence of Lemma 4.4, and Corollary 4.5.

Now let $M \in \mathbb{R}^{2\times 2}$ be a symmetric matrix. Then we have the equivalent conditions

(1) $M = \begin{pmatrix} m_{11} & m_{12} \\ m_{12} & m_{22} \end{pmatrix}$ is negative semi-definite,
(2) $\operatorname{tr} M = m_{11} + m_{22} \le 0$, and $-\det M = m_{12}^2 - m_{11}\, m_{22} \le 0$.

In [CP], we check the non-positivity of the linear operator Lw by checking the non-positivity of $\mathbf{C_w}$, $\mathbf{E_w}$, and by checking condition (2) for the matrices $M^{\mathbf{R_w}}$, $M^{\mathbf{S_w}}$, and $M^{\mathbf{T_w}}$.

5. Vanishing functions

In $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, $n = 2$, for many normal velocities F the quantity

$$\frac{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2}{(\lambda_1\, \lambda_2)^2} F^2$$

seems to be the natural choice when showing convergence to a round point. As in [5], we call this quantity a *vanishing function* for a normal velocity F. It is used by B. Andrews for the Gauss curvature flow [1], by F. Schulze and O. Schnürer for the H^σ-flow [10], by B. Andrews and X. Chen for the $|A|^\sigma$ and the $\operatorname{tr} A^\sigma$-flow [2].

First we give the Definition 5.1 of a vanishing function in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, $n = 3$. In Remark 5.3 we then introduce

$$\sum_{i<j} \frac{(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2}{(\lambda_i\, \lambda_j)^2} F^2$$

as the counterpart of a vanishing function for arbitrary dimensions $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In this paper we work with the quantity in particular in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, $n = 3$.

In Lemma 5.4 we deduce a simple but interesting estimate for vanishing functions for arbitrary dimensions $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We employ this Lemma 5.4 in the proof of our main Theorem 6.5.

Definition 5.1 (Vanishing function)**.** Let $v(a,b,c) \in C^2\left(\mathbb{R}^3_+\right)$ with $v \not\equiv 0$. Let $\mathbf{C_w}(a,b,c)$ be defined as in Lemma 4.4. We call v a *vanishing function* for a normal velocity F if $\mathbf{C_v}(a,b,c) = 0$ for all $0 < a, b, c$.

Example 5.2 (Vanishing function)**.** We have the following example of a vanishing function for a normal velocity F:

$$\left(\frac{(a-b)^2}{(a\,b)^2} + \frac{(a-c)^2}{(a\,c)^2} + \frac{(b-c)^2}{(b\,c)^2}\right) F^2.$$

Remark 5.3 (Vanishing function)**.** We can define a *vanishing function* for arbitrary dimensions $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let λ_i, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, denote the principal curvatures of a hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Using Lemma 4.2 we can define constant terms $\mathbf{C_w}(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)$ as in Lemma 4.4 for an arbitrary $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We have the following example of a vanishing function:

$$\sum_{i<j} \frac{(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2}{(\lambda_i\, \lambda_j)^2} F^2. \tag{5.1}$$

Interestingly, we still obtain a vanishing function if we omit up to $n-1$ terms of the form

$$\frac{\left(\lambda_i-\lambda_j\right)^2}{\left(\lambda_i\,\lambda_j\right)^2}F^2.$$

This reminds us of [8, Theorem 1.5] by G. Huisken and C. Sinestrari.

Lemma 5.4 (Vanishing function)**.** *Let v be a vanishing function as defined in Remark 5.3. Let $v\le C^2$ on some set $\mathcal{S}\subset\mathbb{R}^n_+$, and for some constant $C>0$. Then we have*

$$1\le\frac{\lambda_{max}}{\lambda_{min}}\le 1+C\frac{\lambda_{max}}{F}\qquad\text{on }\ \mathcal{S}. \tag{5.2}$$

Proof. We assume $\lambda_{\min}\equiv\lambda_1\le\ldots\le\lambda_n\equiv\lambda_{\max}$ and obtain

$$C^2\ge\sum_{i<j}\frac{\left(\lambda_i-\lambda_j\right)^2}{\left(\lambda_i\,\lambda_j\right)^2}F^2\ge\frac{\left(\lambda_n-\lambda_1\right)^2}{\left(\lambda_1\,\lambda_n\right)^2}F^2,$$

which implies

$$C\frac{\lambda_n}{F}\ge\frac{\lambda_n\left(\lambda_n-\lambda_1\right)}{\lambda_1\,\lambda_n}=\frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda_1}-1\ge 0.$$

This concludes the proof. □

6. H^3-FLOW

The proof of our main Theorem 6.5 is based on investigating

$$\varphi_{H^3}=\frac{(a-b)^2+(a-c)^2+(b-c)^2}{(a+b+c)^2},$$

$$\text{and}\qquad\psi_{H^3}=\left(\frac{(a-b)^2}{(a\,b)^2}+\frac{(a-c)^2}{(a\,c)^2}+\frac{(b-c)^2}{(b\,c)^2}\right)\left(H^3\right)^2.$$

The quantity φ_{H^3} is inspired by the quantity used in [7] by G. Huisken. The other quantity ψ_{H^3} is a vanishing function. First we show that the estimate $\varphi_{H^3}\le h:=1/8$ is preserved during the H^3-flow if the initial hypersurface M_0 is 2-pinched. This is Lemma 6.1 and Corollary 6.2. Next we prove that ψ_{H^3} is bounded in time on the set where $\varphi_{H^3}\le h$. This is Lemma 6.3 and Corollary 6.4.

The proofs of these Lemmas and Corollaries involve the maximum-principle, the linear operator L and our computer program [CP]. In [CP] we deal with computations of two kinds. One kind is the purely algebraic manipulation of terms, and could still be performed by pen and paper. The other kind of computations includes random numbers for a Monte-Carlo method, which appears to be very tedious to carry out with pen and paper.

Finally, we show convergence to a round point combining the boundedness of ψ_{H^3} and the proof of [10, Theorem A.1.] by F. Schulze and O. Schnürer. This is our main Theorem 6.5.

Lemma 6.1 (Monotone quantity φ)**.** *Let $\left(M_t\right)_{0\le t<T}$ be a maximal solution of the H^3-flow, where M_0 is 2-pinched. Then we have*

$$L\varphi\le 0$$

at a critical point of φ, where $0<\varphi\le 1/8=:h$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{S}_{C_2}$ be the 2-pinched cone in the positive orthant. In [CP], we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{C_2} &:= \{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3_+ : \lambda_i/\lambda_j \leq 2 \text{ for all } 1 \leq i, j \leq 3\} \\ \mathcal{S}_h &:= \{(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{R}^3_+ : 0 < \varphi \leq 1/8 = h\}, \\ \mathcal{S}_{L\varphi} &:= \{(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{R}^3_+ : L\varphi \leq 0\} \end{aligned}$$

and show that

$$\mathcal{S}_{C_2} \subset \mathcal{S}_h \subset \mathcal{S}_{L\varphi}$$

using a Monte-Carlo method. Here, we check the non-positivity of $L\varphi$ as described in Remark 4.6.
Since the functions λ_i/λ_j, φ, and $L\varphi$ are homogeneous in the principal curvatures, it suffices to compute the sets $\mathcal{S}_{C_2}$, $\mathcal{S}_h$, and $\mathcal{S}_{L\varphi}$ in [CP] for the radial projection

$$\pi : \mathbb{R}^3_+ \to \{a + b + c = 1\}, \quad (a, b, c) \mapsto (a, b, c)/(a + b + c).$$

This concludes the computer-based proof. □

Corollary 6.2 (Monotone quantity φ). *Let $(M_t)_{0\leq t<T}$ be a maximal solution of the H^3-flow, where M_0 is 2-pinched. Then we have that*

$$\varphi \leq h := 1/8$$

during the H^3-flow.

Proof. This follows directly from Lemma 6.1 using the maximum-principle. □

Lemma 6.3 (Monotone quantity ψ). *Let $(M_t)_{0\leq t<T}$ be a maximal solution of the H^3-flow, where M_0 is 2-pinched. Then we have*

$$L\psi \leq 0$$

at a critical point of ψ, where $\psi > 0$.

Proof. By Corollary 6.2, we have

$$\varphi \leq h$$

during the H^3-flow. Let $\mathcal{S}_{C_2}$, $\mathcal{S}_h$ be defined as in Lemma 6.1. In [CP], we also compute

$$\mathcal{S}_{L\psi} := \{(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{R}^3_+ : L\psi \leq 0\}$$

and show in particular the second inclusion of

$$\mathcal{S}_{C_2} \subset \mathcal{S}_h \subset \mathcal{S}_{L\psi}$$

using a Monte-Carlo method. By Lemma 6.1, we have the first conclusion.

This concludes the computer-based proof. □

Corollary 6.4 (Monotone quantity ψ). *Let $(M_t)_{0\leq t<T}$ be a maximal solution of the H^3-flow, where M_0 is 2-pinched. Then we have that*

$$\max_{M_t} \psi$$

is non-increasing during the H^3-flow.

Proof. This follows directly from Lemma 6.3 using the maximum-principle. □

Theorem 6.5 (H^3-flow). *Let $(M_t)_{0\leq t<T}$ be a maximal solution of the H^3-flow, where M_0 is 2-pinched. Then $(M_t)_{0\leq t<T}$ converges to a round point.*

Proof. We closely follow proof of the corresponding [10, Theorem A.1.] by F. Schulze and O. Schnürer.

By [10, Theorem 1.1] the surfaces M_t become immediately strictly convex for $t > 0$. Now choose a sufficiently small $0 < \varepsilon < T$ such that the H^3-flow is smooth and strictly convex on the interval (ε, T). Thus the quantity ψ_{H^3} is well-defined on this interval, and bounded from above by Corollary 6.4. By Lemma 5.4 this implies

$$1 \leq \frac{\lambda_{\max}}{\lambda_{\min}} \leq 1 + \frac{C}{H^2}$$

on $(M_t)_{(\varepsilon<t<T)}$.

Now the proof follows analogously to the proof of [10, Theorem 1.2]. $\square$

7. $|A|^2$-FLOW

A result similar to our main Theorem 6.5 holds for the normal velocity $F = |A|^2$ and 3-pinched hypersurfaces. For a proof consider

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{|A|^2} &= \frac{(a^2+b^2+c^2)(a\,b + a\,c + b\,c)^2}{(a\,b\,c)^2} \\ \text{and} \quad \psi_{|A|^2} &= \frac{(a+b+c)^2\left((a-b)^2+(a-c)^2+(b-c)^2\right)}{a\,b\,c}, \end{aligned}$$

and O. Schnürer [9]. As in chapter on H^3-flow using [CP] we obtain

Lemma 7.1 ($|A|^2$-flow)**.** *Let* $(M_t)_{0\leq t<T}$ *be a maximal solution of the* $|A|^2$*-flow, where* M_0 *is* 3*-pinched. Then we have that*

$$\max_{M_t} \psi_{|A|^2}$$

is non-increasing in time.

8. GAUSS CURVATURE FLOW

A result similar to our main Theorem 6.5 holds for the normal velocity $F = K$ and 2-pinched hypersurfaces. For a proof consider

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_K &= \frac{(a-b)^2+(a-c)^2+(b-c)^2}{a^2+b^2+c^2} \\ \text{and} \quad \psi_K &= \left(\frac{(a-b)^2}{(a\,b)^2} + \frac{(a-c)^2}{(a\,c)^2} + \frac{(b-c)^2}{(b\,c)^2}\right)(K)^2, \end{aligned}$$

and B. Chow [4]. As in chapter on H^3-flow using [CP] we obtain

Lemma 8.1 (Gauss curvature flow)**.** *Let* $(M_t)_{0\leq t<T}$ *be a maximal solution of the Gauss curvature flow, where* M_0 *is* 2*-pinched. Then we have that*

$$\max_{M_t} \psi_K$$

is non-increasing in time.

9. Outlook

Our aim is to show convergence to a round point without pinching requirements using vanishing functions in arbitrary dimensions. Instead of splitting the linear operator L into constant terms and gradient terms we intend to work with integral estimates similar to G. Huisken [7]. This way we seek to prove convergence to a round point for contracting normal velocities, including powers of the Gauss curvature, K, of the mean curvature, H, and of the norm of the second fundamental form, $|A|$.

10. Appendix

Some of the Lemmas leading up to the proof our main Theorem 6.5 rely on the computer program [CP]. First we compute the linear operator L for the corresponding quantities φ and ψ. Next we use a Monte-Carlo method to compute the sets $\mathcal{S}_{C_2}$, $\mathcal{S}_h$, $\mathcal{S}_{L\varphi}$, and $\mathcal{S}_{L\psi}$. Finally, we compute the two inclusions

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{S}_{C_2} \subset \mathcal{S}_h \subset \mathcal{S}_{L\varphi}, \\ &\mathcal{S}_{C_2} \subset \mathcal{S}_h \subset \mathcal{S}_{L\psi}. \end{aligned} \tag{10.1}$$

For the convenience of the reader, we include the source code of [CP] in three different programming languages, namely for the computer algebra systems Mathematica, Sage, and Maple. The first part of the appendix is the Mathematica program, the second part is the Sage program, and the third part is the Maple program.

In the first part we also visualize the two inclusions (10.1).

At `www.arxiv.org` we can only submit this article without the computer program [CP]. To download this article with the computer program [CP] please go to `www.martinfranzen.de`.

References

1. Ben Andrews, *Gauss curvature flow: the fate of the rolling stones*, Invent. math. **138** (1999), 151-161.
2. Ben Andrews, Xuzhong Chen, *Surfaces moving by powers of Gauss curvature*, `arXiv:1111.4616 [math.DG]`.
3. Ben Andrews, James McCoy, *Convex hypersurfaces with pinched principal curvatures and flow of convex hypersurfaces by high powers of curvature*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 364 (2012), no. 7, 3427–3447.
4. Bennett Chow, *Deforming convex hypersurfaces by the nth root of the Gaussian curvature*, J. Differential Geom. **22** (1985), no. 1, 117–138.
5. Martin Franzen, *When maximum-principle functions cease to exist*, `arXiv:1501.07259 [math.DG]`.
6. Claus Gerhardt, *Curvature Problems*, Series in Geometry and Topology, vol. 39, International Press, Somerville, MA, 2006.
7. Gerhard Huisken, *Flow by mean curvature of convex surfaces into spheres*, J. Differential Geom. **20** (1984), no. 1, 237-266.
8. Gerhard Huisken, Carlos Sinestrari, *Mean curvature flow with surgeries of two-convex hypersurfaces*, Inventiones mathematicae **175** (2009), 137-221.
9. Oliver C. Schnürer, *Surfaces contracting with speed* $|A|^2$, J. Differential Geom. **71** (2005), no. 3, 347-363.
10. Felix Schulze, *Convexity estimates for flows by powers of the mean curvature, appendix with O. C. Schnürer*, Ann. Scuol Norm. Sup Pisa Cl. Sci. **(5)**, Vol. V (2006), 261-277.

Martin Franzen, Universität Konstanz, Universitätsstrasse 10, D-78464 Konstanz, Germany
E-mail address: `Martin.Franzen@uni-konstanz.de`

Martin Westerholt-Raum, Max Planck Institute for Mathematics, Vivatsgasse 7, D-53111 Bonn, Germany
E-mail address: `Martin@raum-brothers.eu`

Ferdinand Kuhl, Digital Competence, Annakirchstrasse 190, D-41063 Mönchengladbach, Germany
E-mail address: `F.Kuhl@digital-competence.de`